

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/811,345	03/26/2004	Russell Bonaventura	LEAP:135US	1573	
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER		
C. Paul Maliszewski, P.E.			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
Simpson & Simpson, PLLC 5555 Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Williamsville, NY 14221-5406			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application	No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/811,345		BONAVENTURA ET AL				
		Examiner		Art Unit	·			
	•	Joshua L. Pr	itchett	2872				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap							
Period fo		•		·				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICED FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT CONTINUES IN	DATE OF THIS i.136(a). In no event, d will apply and will exite, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	November 200	<u>5</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34 and 36-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
′	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				i.			
	Claim(s) 1-34 and 36-50 is/are rejected.							
=	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	lor election rea	uirement					
٠/١	are subject to restriction and	ror cicolion roq	an ornone.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
. —	The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2004 is/are: a							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • •	•		121/d\			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B							
•								
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		051100001100) (D = 10				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (1).				
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been	received					
	Certified copies of the priority documer Certified copies of the priority documer			ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri				е			
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).					
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certifie	d copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer	nt(s)		,					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Ψ,		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment filed November 2, 2005. Claims 1, 2, 15, 30

and 36 have been amended and claim 35 has been cancelled as requested by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode

contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with

the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention. The originally filed specification, drawings and claims fail to show an illumination

source fully enclosed by a heat sink assembly. The examiner will examine the claim limitation

as if the illumination source were "substantially fully enclosed" by the heat sink assembly as

shown in Figs. 10 and 11 of the current application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2872

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin (US 5,295,052).

Regarding claim 1, Chin teaches an illumination source (34); a heat sink assembly (80) including a lens (col. 1 lines 40-50) secured to the heat sink assembly and the heat sink assembly fully enclosing the illumination source (Fig. 5) and a plurality of fins (Fig. 5) formed at the heat sink assembly and operatively arranged to conduct heat away from the illumination source and to transfer the heat to air passing by or over the assembly (col. 2 lines 55-60). The illumination source in Chin is fully enclosed in a manner similar to that shown in Figs. 10 and 11 of the current application. Chin lacks specific reference to a microscope. Chin does state that the device is used for medical/surgical applications (abstract). It is extremely well known in the art to use microscopes in combination with light sources for medical/surgical applications. Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Chin light source used in combination with a microscope as suggested by Chin for the purpose of allowing surgery to be performed on parts of the body too small to be easily observed with the naked eye.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,345

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 2, Chin teaches the heat sink further comprises an inner wall (top of 36) and an outer wall (bottom of 36) separated by an air gap (Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 3, Chin teaches a first fin from the plurality of fins is connected to the outer wall and a second fin from the plurality of fins is connected to the inner wall (Fig. 5).

Claims 4-12, 14-24, 26-34, 36-43 and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin (US 5,295,052) in view of Messinger (US 5,076,660).

Regarding claim 4, Chin teaches the invention as claimed including an air inlet (112) but lacks reference to a baffle directing the airflow. Messinger teaches the heat sink assembly (abstract) comprising a baffle (15, 19 and partitions shown in Fig. 1) located proximate the air inlet (Fig. 1) and operative arranged to deflect air entering via the inlet and to occlude the emanation of light form the source through the air inlet (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Chin invention include the baffles of Messinger for the purpose of greater cooling efficiency of the heat sink assembly.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 17, 18, 36 and 37, Chin teaches the invention as claimed including slots to formed in a base plate to allow rapid removal and replacement of components of the device (col. 3 lines 13-20). Chin lacks reference to the use of baffles to direct airflow through the heat sink assembly located in those slots. Messinger teaches the baffle plate overlies the air inlet (Fig. 1). Messinger further teaches a first plurality of baffles (Fig. 1). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the slot teaching of Chin to mount the plurality of baffles taught by Messinger for the purpose of using the baffles to

Art Unit: 2872

direct air across the heat sink for efficient cooling and allow the baffles to be removed to clean off any debris brought into the device by the air inlet.

Regarding claims 7-12, 14, 19-24, 29, 38-43 and 46, Chin teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the use of baffles to direct the airflow. Messinger teaches a baffle having an arcuate shape (15). The element, 15, acts as a baffle directing airflow into the coupling fixture. It would be obvious to have the other baffles (19 and partitions) have the same shape as 15 for the purpose of better directing the airflow. Messinger further teaches each of the baffles forms an opening between an edge of each baffle and the baffle plate disposed in a first direction (Fig. 1). Messinger further teaches the division of the first plurality baffles into two other pluralities of baffles. The second plurality of baffles (15 and 19) has an opening in the first direction and is parallel to the air inlet (9) (Fig. 1). The third plurality of baffles (partitions) has an opening in a second direction opposite the first direction and is perpendicular to the air inlet (9) (Fig. 1). Messinger further teaches an air outlet (13) wherein the heat sink assembly is operatively arranged to induce airflow into the air inlet, across the heat sink, and through the air outlet (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Chin invention include the baffles of Messinger for the purpose of greater cooling efficiency of the heat sink assembly.

Regarding claims 15, 16, 26, 30 and 47-50, Chin teaches an illumination source (34); a heat sink assembly (80) surrounding the illumination source (Fig. 5) and a plurality of fins (Fig. 5) formed at the heat sink assembly and operatively arranged to conduct heat away from the illumination source and to transfer the heat to air passing by or over the assembly (col. 2 lines 55-60). Chin lacks specific reference to a microscope. Chin does state that the device is used for

Art Unit: 2872

medical/surgical applications (abstract). It is extremely well known in the art to use microscopes in combination with light sources for medical/surgical applications. Official Notice is taken. Chin further lacks reference to the use of baffles. Messinger teaches the heat sink assembly (abstract) comprising a fixed baffle (19 and partitions shown in Fig. 1) located proximate the air inlet (Fig. 1) and operative arranged to deflect air entering via the inlet and to occlude the emanation of light form the source through the air inlet (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Chin invention include the baffles of Messinger for the purpose of greater cooling efficiency of the heat sink assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Chin light source used in combination with a microscope as suggested by Chin for the purpose of allowing surgery to be performed on parts of the body too small to be easily observed with the naked eye.

Regarding claims 27, 31 and 33, Chin teaches the heat sink further comprises an inner wall (top of 36) and an outer wall (bottom of 36) separated by an air gap (Fig. 5).

Regarding claims 28, 32 and 34, Chin teaches a first fin from the plurality of fins is connected to the outer wall and a second fin from the plurality of fins is connected to the inner wall (Fig. 5).

Claims 13, 25, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin (US 5,295,052) in view of Messinger (US 5,076,660) as applied to claims 5, 17 and 36 above, and further in view of Rauen (US 6,698,200).

Chin in combination with Messinger teaches the invention as claimed including a baseplate (14) with the air inlet disposed in the base plate (Fig. 2) but lacks reference to a thermal insulation layer. Rauen teaches the use of a thermal insulation layer between the baffles plate (60) and the base plate (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the thermal insulation layer of Rauen in the Chin/Messinger invention for the purpose of preventing the heat created by the light source from adversely impacting other components of the microscope outside the heat sink assembly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 2, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Chin reference fails to teach or suggest the illumination source fully enclosed by the heat sink assembly. There is no support for this claim limitation in the application as originally filed. Chin does teach the claim limitation to the extent that "fully enclosed" is shown in the drawings of the current application as stated above.

Applicant argues that Messinger fails to teach a fixed baffle and a baffle to occlude light from exiting the air inlet. Applicant's arguments seem to be drawn to viewing passages (11) as the only air inlet. As previously stated in the rejection the air inlet is element 9. Both the baffles, 19 and partitions, are fixed and the partition baffles occlude light from exiting air inlet 9. The partitions the examiner references to in the rejection are shown in Fig. 1 on the right hand side with arrows to indicate air flow passing between the partitions.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,345

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP W

DREW A. DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9